

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,126	04/09/2001	Nicholas Bennett	3182/FBR	7244	
75	90 05/28/2003				
Rosenman & Colin LLP			EXAMINER		
575 Madison A New York, NY			COBURN, CO	ORBETT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	V 7	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	δ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/ [
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·				
Office Action Summary		09/829,126	BENNETT, NICHOLAS					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Corbett B. Coburn	3714					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE No Exter after If the Failure Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT accuse the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on /	MARDI						
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the mer	rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
•	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	1						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
_	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-12 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	□ Claim(s) 8 and 13 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		e Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>19 March 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	;				
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Ta	rademark Office							

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 19 March 2003. These drawings are accepted.

Specification

2. Examiner's objection to the title is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 & 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss et al. (US Patent Number 6,142,873) in view of Helm et al. (US Patent Number 4,743,024)
 - Claim 1: Weiss teaches a gaming machine (Fig 1) having a display means (6) and a game control means (64) arranged to control images displayed on the display. The game control means being arranged to play a game wherein one or more random events are caused to be displayed on the display means (i.e., the reels spin) and, if a predefined winning event results, the machine awards a prize. (Fig 2) The gaming machine includes a feature of a changing representation of the awarding of the prize (20) and a player-operable control device (12), which, upon manipulation by a player, controls an outcome

Art Unit: 3714

of the representation to determine an amount, awarded to the player. (Fig 2) Weiss fails to teach that the prize is dependent on when the player operates the control device. Helm teaches a slot machine with a skill stop feature that gives the player control over what indicia are displayed when the player operates a control device (26 or 28). (Col 5, 30-42) This means the prize is dependent on when the player operates the control device. Skill stop games are well known to the art and give the player a feeling of control, plus they are more challenging than simple slot machines. This increases player enjoyment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the prize be dependent on when the player operates the control device in order to give the player a feeling of control and present a greater challenge than offered by simple slot machines, thus increasing player enjoyment.

Claim 2: Weiss, Fig 1, 11 is a representation of a win meter.

Claim 3: Weiss, Fig 1, 11 is a graphical display representing a changing award.

Claim 4: The amount represented by Weiss's graphical display (11), where it is stopped by the player, is awarded to the player as the prize. (Col 2, 1-6)

Claim 15: Weiss's control device is an actuator (50) operable by the player to stop changes in the representation. (Col 3, 46-51)

Claim 16: Weiss teaches the feature is triggered upon the occurrence of a trigger condition arising in a base game. (Abstract)

Claim 17: Helm teaches a tournament being played among a group of linked gaming machines. (Col 6, 1-8)

Art Unit: 3714

5. Claims 5-7, 9-12 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss and Helm as applied to claim 4 in view of Huard et al. (US Patent Number 5,743,800).

Claim 5: Weiss and Helm teach the invention substantially as claimed. Weiss discloses a threshold value such that when that threshold value is reached by the graphical display without having being stopped by the player, a losing outcome results. (Fig 2, Col 1, 62 – Col 2, 17) Weiss does not, however, specifically state that the game controller selects the threshold value. Huard teaches the game controller randomly selecting the bonus amount. (Abstract) The bonus amount is equivalent to the threshold – it represents the maximum bonus amount available. Randomly determining the bonus amount (threshold) increases the excitement of the game. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the game controller select the threshold in order to increase the excitement of the game.

Claims 6, 11: Weiss teaches that when the graphical display (11) commences changing (i.e., the bonus accumulates), the player is able to stop the graphical display at any time by means of the control device – the quit/retire button (50). The arrangement is such that, if the graphical display reaches the threshold value, the losing outcome results but, if the player operates the control device (i.e., retires) before the threshold value is reached, the player may be awarded the amount represented by the graphical display at the time that the control device is operated. (Fig 2, Col 1, 62 – Col 2, 17)

Claims 7, 12: The rate at which Weiss' graphical display (11) changes is variable and is set by the game control means. (Col 3, 51-55) In this case, the graphical display (11)

Art Unit: 3714

changes by adding the variable amount displayed on reel (18). This amount is determined by the control means.

Claims 9, 14: Weiss always displays the threshold. Therefore, should the player stop the representation before the threshold value is reached, the game control means causes the selected threshold value to be displayed so that the player can ascertain when the losing outcome would have resulted.

Claim 10: Huard teaches a random number being selected as the threshold value (i.e., maximum prize amount) by the game control means, the random number falling in a predetermined range from one to a highest possible value. (Fig 3)

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8 & 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3714

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on (703) 308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

cbc

May 21, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700